



Danantara and the Reform of Indonesia's Economy: A Multi-Dimensional Literature Review on Investment, SOEs, and National Development

William Chandra

Universitas Tarumanagara, Jakarta, Indonesia

Wilma Silalahi

Universitas Tarumanagara, Jakarta, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Objective – Danantara, Indonesia's newly established sovereign wealth fund (SWF), holds transformative potential for economic reform. This article analyzes Danantara's strategic role in optimizing investment management, enhancing the performance of State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs), and advancing Indonesia's national development agenda.

Methodology – Employing a qualitative literature review of peer-reviewed sources and comparative SWF case studies (primarily Norway and Singapore), the study highlights that Danantara can reduce inefficiencies in SOE capital allocation, strengthen domestic investment capacity, and lower reliance on foreign debt.

Findings – Danantara's structure mirrors successful international SWF models while adapting to Indonesia's legal and institutional context. However, persistent risks such as political interference and governance gaps may hinder its long-term success.

Novelty – This literature-based approach allows a broad yet integrative view but is limited by the absence of empirical validation or field data. Strengthening legal safeguards and aligning SOE mandates with national industrial strategy emerge as critical steps toward Danantara's effective implementation.

Keywords: *Danantara, sovereign wealth fund, SOEs, investment strategy, economic reform, Indonesia*

JEL Classification: G23, H81, O16

Article Info: Received 15 Apr 2025; Revised 19 Apr 2025; Accepted 20 Apr 2025

Article Correspondence: william.207242010@stu.untar.ac.id; wchacademics@gmail.com

Recommended Citation: Chandra, W., & Silalahi, W. (2024). Danantara and the Reform of Indonesia's Economy: A Multi-Dimensional Literature Review on Investment, SOEs, and National Development. *Journal of Business, Management, and Social Studies*, 4(3), 152-161.

I. INTRODUCTION

Indonesia's economy, as a resource-rich developing country, continues to face multidimensional challenges in achieving inclusive and sustainable growth. Structural dependence on primary commodity exports, exposure to global price volatility, and increasing climate-related risks have contributed to persistent vulnerabilities in macroeconomic stability (Basri, 2016; Halland et al., 2015). Moreover, uncertainties stemming from shifting geopolitical dynamics and global monetary policies require strategic national responses to strengthen long-term economic resilience (Truman, 2009).



To address these challenges, the Indonesian government established Danantara, a sovereign wealth fund (SWF), under Government Regulation No.74 of 2020 as mandated by the Omnibus Law on Job Creation (Law No.11 of 2020). Danantara was designed to manage state assets, surplus revenues, and proceeds from natural resources in a professional and transparent manner (Situmorang, 2021). By functioning as an alternative development financing vehicle, Danantara aims to reduce reliance on foreign debt and expand fiscal space through long-term investment returns (Sugarda et al., 2024).

A critical aspect of Danantara's mission lies in supporting the performance and reform of State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs). Unlike profit-driven private firms, Indonesian SOEs often operate in strategic and high-capital sectors with social objectives such as connectivity, access, and public service provision (Siregar & Amalia, 2020). Hence, enhancing their efficiency, transparency, and alignment with national development goals through Danantara's funding mechanisms represents a vital step in institutional reform (Amalia et al., 2018; Kuncoro, 2010).

Furthermore, Danantara is expected to act as a catalyst for attracting foreign direct investment (FDI), particularly in sectors prioritized by Indonesia's long-term economic roadmap. Its operational transparency and adherence to global standards are essential for building investor confidence and minimizing perception risks (Balding, 2012; Sibero, 2024). Well-managed, Danantara can generate multiplier effects by creating employment, improving infrastructure, and strengthening national foreign reserves (Sukarsa & Akhmadi, 2024; Mahadiansar et al., 2020).

Nevertheless, the sustainability of Danantara's impact is conditional upon strong governance, institutional oversight, and mitigation of inherent risks such as conflicts of interest, political capture, and accountability deficits (Truman, 2009; Sugarda et al., 2024). Learning from international best practices such as Norway's GPF and Singapore's GIC provides important guidance in this context (Halland et al., 2015; Sibero, 2024).

Based on the above considerations, this article seeks to examine the strategic role of Danantara in reforming Indonesia's economic structure. Specifically, it addresses the following research questions: (1) How does Danantara contribute to improving state investment management? (2) In what ways does Danantara support the efficiency and governance of SOEs? (3) What is Danantara's role in advancing national development strategies?

This study adopts a qualitative literature review approach that synthesizes national policy documents and academic sources on macroeconomic theory, fiscal policy, and sovereign wealth fund practices, both domestic and comparative (Sukirno, 2004; Tambunan, 2021; Balding, 2012).

II. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a normative juridical approach combined with a qualitative-descriptive method, primarily based on library research. The research relies on secondary data derived from scholarly literature, official policy documents, national regulations, and comparative studies on sovereign wealth funds (SWFs). This methodology is suitable for exploring the role of Danantara in Indonesia's economic reform from both legal and macroeconomic perspectives (Situmorang, 2021; Tambunan, 2021).

The literature reviewed in this study spans the period from 2015 to 2024, emphasizing the most recent developments related to sovereign wealth fund governance, state-owned enterprise (SOE) reform, and national investment policy. Sources include peer-reviewed journals, academic books, regulatory texts, and government publications. Inclusion criteria focus on materials that: (a) discuss SWF structure or practice, (b) address macroeconomic or fiscal implications of public investment, and (c) relate to SOEs and national development planning.



Exclusion criteria eliminate sources lacking verifiability or empirical support. To ensure the relevance and quality of the literature used in this study, a systematic review process was conducted in several stages. The initial stage involved keyword-based searches in academic databases such as Google Scholar, ScienceDirect, and national journal portals, using terms like “sovereign wealth fund,” “SWF governance,” “state-owned enterprises,” and “investment policy in Indonesia.” Sources were selected based on inclusion criteria such as peer-reviewed status, publication between 2015 and 2024, and thematic relevance to SWF implementation or SOE reform. Exclusion criteria were applied to sources that lacked methodological clarity, did not focus on Indonesia or comparable economies, or were opinion-based without empirical or theoretical support. This filtering process ensured that only high-quality and thematically aligned literature formed the foundation of the analysis.

After the literature was selected, each source was categorized thematically into four key clusters: (1) macroeconomic and fiscal foundations, (2) investment management through SWFs, (3) SOE governance and reform, and (4) national development policy. These clusters were used to guide the synthesis of arguments and identify converging patterns across different sources. A qualitative synthesis method was employed, combining content analysis with comparative review to extract insights on both national and international practices. The analysis also considered the policy implications and institutional conditions surrounding Danantara’s establishment and potential. This thematic mapping allowed for a more structured and focused discussion in the subsequent sections of the paper.

For international comparisons, the study focuses on Norway, Singapore, and the United Arab Emirates, as these countries represent mature SWFs with differing governance models and institutional frameworks. Norway’s Government Pension Fund Global (GPF) is recognized for transparency and long-termism (Halland et al., 2015), while Singapore’s GIC and Temasek demonstrate efficient, commercially oriented asset management within a legal-institutional structure comparable to Indonesia’s (Truman, 2009; Sugarda et al., 2024). These cases offer important insights for evaluating Danantara’s institutional potential.

A descriptive-analytical technique is used to assess the relationship between legal-theoretical frameworks and the actual practice of sovereign investment in Indonesia. This involves content analysis of statutory instruments and literature, along with comparative analysis to highlight convergence and divergence in SWF governance models (Kuncoro, 2010; Balding, 2012).

Findings are synthesized to develop a conceptual framework that maps out Danantara’s strategic role in national development, particularly in: (a) improving state asset management efficiency (Siregar & Amalia, 2020), (b) empowering SOEs in key sectors (Amalia et al., 2018), and (c) reducing reliance on foreign financing through long-term capital mobilization (Sukarsa & Akhmadi, 2024).

This qualitative approach enables a context-sensitive analysis of Danantara’s governance model, legal foundations, and macroeconomic potential—ultimately contributing to policy recommendations for sustainable and transparent fund management in Indonesia.

The legal foundation for Danantara as Indonesia’s sovereign wealth fund is primarily established through Law No.11 of 2020 on Job Creation (Omnibus Law), which mandates the formation of a sovereign investment institution. This mandate is operationalized through Government Regulation No.74 of 2020 concerning the Lembaga Pengelola Investasi (LPI), or Indonesia Investment Authority (INA). The regulation outlines Danantara’s structure, authority, governance model, and sources of capital, emphasizing independence and commercial orientation. However, several legal scholars argue that the regulatory framework remains in flux, particularly regarding accountability mechanisms and the clarity of oversight bodies (Situmorang, 2021). In this context, institutional design becomes a critical determinant of the fund’s credibility and long-term success.

Compared to sovereign wealth funds in countries like Singapore and Norway, Danantara’s legal framework is still evolving and lacks certain protections. For instance, while Singapore’s Temasek operates



under company law with strict board accountability, and Norway's GPFG is subject to parliamentary oversight, Danantara's relationship with other financial institutions and ministries is not yet fully defined (Truman, 2009; Sugarda et al., 2024). Legal uncertainties—such as overlapping mandates between ministries or insufficient provisions for conflict-of-interest mitigation—could undermine Danantara's effectiveness. Therefore, continuous refinement of its legal instruments is necessary to ensure transparency, legal certainty, and alignment with good governance standards. Strengthening the fund's legal standing will also enhance public trust and protect it from undue political influence.

III. DISCUSSION

The discussion in this article aims to provide an in-depth analysis of the role of Danantara as a sovereign wealth fund (SWF) in the reform of Indonesia's economy, focusing on investment management, the strengthening of State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs), and its impact on national economic development. This analysis explores how Danantara can serve as a critical instrument for reducing reliance on foreign debt, enhancing domestic economic competitiveness, and promoting sustainable economic growth. This discussion is divided into several sub-sections.

The Role of Danantara in Indonesia's Economy

Danantara, as a newly established sovereign wealth fund (SWF), is envisioned as a key instrument to support Indonesia's long-term economic transformation. It aims to optimize the management of surplus revenues and natural resource income through structured and transparent investments (Situmorang, 2021). This initiative is particularly relevant in a country historically reliant on debt and vulnerable to commodity price volatility (Basri, 2016). By reallocating resources into productive sectors, Danantara is expected to reduce external financing dependence. It also strengthens fiscal buffers to enhance resilience in future crises (Sugarda et al., 2024).

As a state fund, Danantara has the mandate to direct investments toward sectors with long-term strategic value. These include infrastructure, renewable energy, and logistics, which are essential to raising economic competitiveness (Solihin et al., 2023). Danantara's structured investment model is aligned with the principle of sustainability and productivity rather than short-term returns (Kuncoro, 2010). This approach creates opportunities for inclusive growth and balanced regional development. Ultimately, it supports the transition toward a more diversified economic base.

In relation to State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs), Danantara provides a financing mechanism to enhance their performance and reform trajectory. SOEs in Indonesia have long been burdened with non-commercial responsibilities that reduce operational efficiency (Siregar & Amalia, 2020). With dedicated investment support, these enterprises can increase productivity and improve competitiveness. The fund's professional governance model helps reduce political interference in SOE operations (Amalia et al., 2018). This allows SOEs to operate more independently and adapt to global market demands.

In addition to domestic impact, Danantara serves as a signaling tool for international investors. A well-structured SWF boosts investor confidence and strengthens Indonesia's reputation for stable fund management (Balding, 2012). This function is similar to what has been observed in Singapore's GIC and Norway's GPFG (Truman, 2009). Foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows can increase through partnership models anchored by Danantara's credibility. These inflows contribute to job creation, innovation, and higher productivity in target sectors.

Despite its potential, Danantara faces serious governance and accountability challenges. Without strong oversight, the fund may be exposed to inefficiencies or misuse of public resources. Risks such as moral hazard, conflict of interest, and elite capture must be mitigated early. Best practices from other



countries suggest the importance of independent audits and legislative scrutiny (Truman, 2009; Sibero, 2024). In this way, Danantara can evolve into a sustainable development instrument for Indonesia.

Investment Management through Sovereign Wealth Fund

Investment management through a sovereign wealth fund (SWF), such as Danantara, is intended to promote long-term and sustainable economic growth. It does so by allocating state resources more efficiently and productively, especially those sourced from budget surpluses and natural resource revenues (Situmorang, 2021). SWFs are designed to protect national wealth from global shocks and ensure intergenerational equity (Truman, 2009). In Indonesia's case, Danantara is expected to address investment gaps in strategic sectors. These include infrastructure, digital economy, and renewable energy (Solihin et al., 2023).

One of the key strengths of SWFs lies in their long-term investment horizon. This distinguishes them from private funds that often pursue short-term profits. With sectoral focus and government alignment, Danantara can support Indonesia's industrial upgrading and reduce its structural dependence on foreign capital. It can also stimulate technological innovation and employment across high-impact industries. These outcomes contribute to a more resilient and competitive economy (Kuncoro, 2010).

However, effective SWF management requires strong governance frameworks and public accountability. Danantara must be managed transparently, with independent oversight and clear performance metrics (Firmansyah et al., 2024). This includes aligning its investment priorities with national development goals and minimizing political interference. Global best practices—such as those in Norway and Singapore—emphasize rule-based management and professional board structures (Balding, 2012). Without such systems, the fund risks inefficiency and loss of public trust.

In addition, risk management plays a crucial role in the success of long-term investment strategies. Market volatility, geopolitical shifts, and currency instability can undermine SWF returns if not properly mitigated. Portfolio diversification and regular stress testing are essential tools for minimizing systemic exposure. Danantara must therefore adopt data-driven decision-making in selecting assets and sectors. These safeguards are vital to preserving national wealth and delivering sustained economic value.

With proper design and implementation, Danantara has the potential to become a catalyst for inclusive and sustainable economic growth. Its role is not only financial but also strategic in building confidence, reducing fiscal pressure, and enhancing institutional credibility (Sukarsa & Akhmadi, 2024). To succeed, the fund must balance flexibility with accountability and performance with public legitimacy. Transparent reporting, external audits, and public engagement should be institutionalized as part of its governance model (Truman, 2009). Only then can Danantara fulfill its mandate as a key pillar of Indonesia's development trajectory.

Impact and Challenges in Implementing Danantara

State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs) are a critical pillar in Indonesia's economic architecture, playing central roles in infrastructure development and public service provision. Despite their broad mandates, many SOEs struggle with efficiency and global competitiveness due to limited autonomy and politicized management structures (Siregar & Amalia, 2020). Danantara offers a strategic opportunity to address these issues by channeling long-term investments into productivity-enhancing sectors. Its professional structure allows capital allocation to be more performance-driven and aligned with national development goals (Situmorang, 2021). This can help reposition SOEs as globally competitive entities rather than budget-dependent institutions.

Through Danantara, SOEs can secure the capital needed for business expansion, innovation, and renewal of strategic infrastructure. One of the practical ways Danantara can strengthen Indonesia's



economy is by financing large-scale national projects that require long-term and strategic investment. For example, the development of Indonesia's new capital city (IKN) in East Kalimantan presents a massive infrastructure agenda that aligns with Danantara's objectives. Investment in energy transition projects led by PLN, such as renewable power plants and grid modernization, also reflects the fund's potential role in supporting sustainable development. In the digital sector, SOEs like Telkom Indonesia could benefit from Danantara's capital to expand broadband infrastructure and digital public services, especially in remote regions. These types of targeted investments can deliver economic value while also promoting equitable development and national resilience.

These funds can be directed toward high-impact initiatives such as renewable energy, transportation, and digital infrastructure (Firmansyah et al., 2024). Strategic investment support can unlock efficiencies, modernize operational models, and scale up industrial capabilities. This aligns with Indonesia's vision of transforming SOEs into globally resilient champions (Tambunan, 2021). By focusing on innovation and long-term returns, Danantara reinforces the developmental mandate of SOEs.

However, capital infusion alone is insufficient without improvements in governance and institutional discipline. Many SOEs continue to face challenges related to bureaucratic inefficiency, lack of transparency, and political interference (Kuncoro, 2010). Danantara must therefore enforce strict governance standards in its disbursement and monitoring of funds. Funding must be linked to performance metrics and subject to regular evaluation and reporting (Amalia et al., 2018). Only then can the fund generate sustainable improvements in the SOE sector.

Beyond funding mechanisms, Danantara should also catalyze innovation through targeted project selection and technology upgrades. Digitalization, industrial research, and green infrastructure are key sectors requiring capital support and strategic guidance. With a future-oriented investment portfolio, SOEs can lead in shaping Indonesia's transition to a more knowledge-based and environmentally responsible economy. This shift is essential in maintaining economic relevance in the face of rapid global change. Danantara must become both an investor and a reform enabler.

Table 1 Potential Impacts and Key Challenges

Potential Impacts	Key Challenges
Enhancing the efficiency of SOE funding and performance	Risk of misuse or diversion of public funds
Supporting national infrastructure and strategic sector projects	Weak institutional oversight and limited transparency
Reducing reliance on foreign debt through domestic capital mobilization	Political interference in fund allocation decisions
Driving innovation and technological modernization in SOEs	Absence of robust performance evaluation frameworks
Promoting inclusive and equitable regional development	Public skepticism due to lack of information and accountability

Nonetheless, the risk of fund misuse and weak oversight remains a major concern in SWF implementation. Without strong institutional checks and transparency mechanisms, large-scale investments may lead to inefficiency or corruption (Sukirno & Setiawan, 2023). Learning from past governance failures in public fund management, Danantara must institutionalize independent auditing, parliamentary scrutiny, and stakeholder reporting. These safeguards will ensure that public trust in the fund remains intact over time. Effective implementation is what transforms financial potential into long-term national progress. See Table 1 for potential impacts and key challenges.

The Role of SOEs in Economic Development

Danantara, as Indonesia's sovereign wealth fund (SWF), holds considerable potential to contribute to equitable and inclusive national development. Its function extends beyond capital management to include



social investment that addresses inequality and regional disparities (Mahadiansar et al., 2020). Danantara is designed to fund projects that generate both economic and social returns, particularly in underserved regions. These investments align with Indonesia's long-term development goals as outlined in the RPJMN and SDGs framework (Situmorang, 2021). As such, the fund serves as both a financial and developmental instrument.

One of Danantara's most direct contributions is the generation of employment through strategic investments. Infrastructure, energy, and digital technology are sectors with high labor absorption potential. By investing in these sectors, Danantara supports efforts to reduce unemployment and improve household incomes. This approach also helps address social inequality by creating opportunities in regions with limited private sector presence. With careful allocation, the fund can become a tool for inclusive growth and regional balancing.

In addition to job creation, Danantara can strengthen domestic industries that support long-term economic resilience. Strategic sectors such as green technology, logistics, and manufacturing can benefit from capital infusion aimed at boosting productivity (Firmansyah et al., 2024). This shift is crucial for reducing Indonesia's overdependence on raw commodity exports. A more diverse and innovation-driven economy is better positioned to face external economic shocks (Kuncoro, 2010). Danantara thus contributes to structural economic transformation.

The fund also plays an important role in reducing Indonesia's dependence on foreign debt. By mobilizing domestic surplus into productive investments, Danantara can help close the financing gap without increasing fiscal liabilities. Reducing reliance on external loans enhances national policy autonomy and lowers currency risk. This is especially relevant in the current global climate of rising interest rates and tightening credit markets. In this way, Danantara strengthens macroeconomic stability through domestic resource mobilization.

Nevertheless, the success of Danantara in delivering broad-based benefits hinges on inclusive governance. One major challenge is ensuring that investment outcomes reach marginalized populations and do not exacerbate existing inequalities (Sukarsa & Akhmadi, 2024). To achieve this, Danantara must embed sustainability and equity principles into its decision-making framework. Stakeholder engagement, environmental screening, and social impact assessment should be integral parts of its operation (Truman, 2009). Only with such safeguards can the fund realize its full potential as a tool for sustainable development.

Learning from Global SWF Models

Many countries have established sovereign wealth funds (SWFs) as long-term fiscal and strategic tools to manage their national wealth. Norway's Government Pension Fund Global (GPGF), Singapore's Temasek and GIC, and the United Arab Emirates' ADIA are examples of SWFs with mature governance frameworks and clear mandates (Halland et al., 2015). These funds vary in terms of source of capital, risk appetite, investment allocation, and institutional structure. However, what they share is a strong commitment to transparency and professionalism in asset management. These characteristics have made them global benchmarks for SWF performance (Truman, 2009).

Norway's GPGF is known for its high level of transparency and ethical investment policy. It discloses its portfolio, adheres to ESG principles, and is accountable to the Norwegian parliament. Singapore's GIC and Temasek are more commercially driven, managing diversified global portfolios to maximize returns (Balding, 2012). Meanwhile, ADIA in the UAE is more opaque but highly active in global markets, particularly in real estate and private equity. These models offer useful contrasts to assess Danantara's development path.

Danantara, by contrast, is still in its early institutional phase and is learning to build governance and investment frameworks from scratch. Indonesia can benefit from adopting best practices such as



independent boards, long-term benchmarks, public reporting, and stakeholder engagement (Sugarda et al., 2024). Adapting these elements to local legal and economic contexts is essential for Danantara's credibility and performance. A hybrid model that combines developmental objectives with commercial discipline may be the most realistic fit. This would allow Danantara to meet both social and financial goals.

Table 2 summarizes key features of the selected SWFs and compares them with Danantara. This comparison serves to position Indonesia's sovereign wealth strategy within the broader global context. It also identifies gaps that may need to be addressed in the future. These include strengthening transparency, clarifying investment objectives, and reducing political interference. Benchmarking against global practices can improve Danantara's effectiveness and legitimacy.

Table 2 Comparative Overview of Selected Sovereign Wealth Funds

Aspect	Norway (GPF)	Singapore (GIC/Temasek)	UAE (ADIA)	Indonesia (Danantara)
Oil & Gas Revenues	Oil & Gas revenues	State-owned companies and fiscal surpluses	Oil revenues and global investments	State Budget (APBN), natural resources, SOE assets
Intergenerational Savings	Intergenerational savings	Commercial returns and national reserves	Economic diversification	Long-term national development financing
Transparent, Audited by Parliament	Transparent, audited by parliament	Professional, semi-independent	Relatively opaque	In early phase, based on PP No. 74/2020
Global, ESG-compliant Portfolio	Global, ESG-compliant portfolio	Global, strategic commercial sectors	Real estate, global equities	Infrastructure, green energy, SOEs
High, Publicly Accessible Reports	High, publicly accessible reports	Moderate, publishes annual reports	Low	Still limited, regulatory framework in progress

Source: Adapted from Truman (2009), Halland et al. (2015), Sugarda et al. (2024)

In summary, Danantara emerges as a strategic financial instrument positioned at the intersection of investment, institutional reform, and national development. The fund's effectiveness will be determined not only by its internal design and performance, but also by the broader ecosystem of policy coherence, public accountability, and global economic alignment. Lessons from comparative models reinforce the need for Indonesia to institutionalize legal certainty, policy stability, and strategic vision. These components are crucial to translating sovereign fund capital into long-term structural transformation. The following conclusion reflects on these findings and offers practical implications for Danantara's future.

V. CONCLUSION

This article has explored the strategic role of Danantara as a sovereign wealth fund (SWF) in supporting Indonesia's economic reform agenda. Through effective investment management and support for State-Owned Enterprises (SOEs), Danantara contributes to long-term economic resilience and fiscal sustainability. Its impact extends beyond finance, touching on social outcomes such as employment creation and equitable development. These findings align with Indonesia's broader macroeconomic aspirations and structural reform trajectory (Sadli, 2003). Thus, Danantara should be positioned as both an economic and institutional reform tool.

Danantara offers an opportunity to reduce reliance on foreign loans while optimizing state-owned wealth for productive investments. By focusing on high-impact sectors—such as infrastructure, green technology, and digital transformation—it can foster competitiveness and diversification (Dinarjito, 2018). In regions with underdeveloped capital access, Danantara may help bridge gaps in service and employment.



However, these potential gains require robust governance and strategic clarity in sector prioritization. Without them, the risk of inefficiency or resource misallocation remains.

The government must institutionalize strong regulatory and oversight frameworks to support Danantara's operations. Independent supervisory bodies and transparent audit mechanisms are essential to prevent political interference and ensure public trust (Truman, 2009; Sukirno, 2004). Public engagement, especially from civil society and academia, should be encouraged to support transparency and legitimacy. Investment guidelines must also reflect long-term national interests rather than short-term political agendas. This approach will enhance accountability and ensure sustainability.

A critical limitation of this study lies in its reliance on secondary data and qualitative analysis. While literature-based insights provide valuable theoretical grounding, further empirical research is needed to measure Danantara's real impact over time. Future studies may focus on performance metrics, governance practices, and comparative financial modeling of SWFs in emerging economies. Expanding access to official data and case-specific evaluation would improve the quality of policy recommendations. Therefore, this study serves as an initial conceptual foundation rather than a conclusive empirical assessment.

In conclusion, Danantara has the potential to become a cornerstone in Indonesia's transition toward a more sustainable, resilient, and inclusive economy. Its success depends on commitment to transparency, strong legal and institutional design, and long-term policy alignment. With these elements in place, Danantara can help Indonesia achieve its vision of becoming a developed country with robust economic sovereignty. The fund should be seen not just as a fiscal tool, but as a catalyst for broader structural transformation. For this, political will, public scrutiny, and institutional discipline are all indispensable.

In the broader context of Southeast Asia's economic integration and shifting global investment flows, Danantara has the potential to strengthen Indonesia's geopolitical and fiscal positioning. As regional economies compete for sustainable capital, Danantara can serve as a vehicle for strategic engagement with partners such as the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), while also preserving national interests. Its alignment with Indonesia's energy transition and green economy agenda offers opportunities to attract ESG-oriented investments and carbon financing. With the right diplomatic, regulatory, and investment strategies, Danantara could elevate Indonesia's economic diplomacy in the region. This underscores the need to embed long-term strategic vision into the fund's operational and governance framework.

REFERENCES

- Amalia, P., Ikhwanasyah, I., & Chandrawulan, A. A. (2018). Optimalisasi Peran Badan Usaha Milik Negara (BUMN) pada Era Masyarakat Ekonomi ASEAN (MEA). *Jurnal Media Hukum*, 25(2), 150–161.
- Balding, C. (2012). Sovereign wealth funds: The new intersection of money and politics. *New Political Economy*, 17(2), 161–185.
- Basri, M. C. (2016). *Ekonomi Makro untuk Indonesia*. Jakarta: Penerbit Buku Kompas.
- Dinarjito, A. (2018). Menilai Kesehatan BUMN Konstruksi yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia sebagai Akibat Meningkatnya Proyek Infrastruktur Pemerintah. *Substansi*, 2(1), 1–18.
- Halland, H., Noel, M., & Tordo, S. (2015). Managing resource revenues in developing countries: SWFs and macroeconomic policy. *Resources Policy*, 45, 144–152.
- Kuncoro, M. (2010). *Ekonomi Pembangunan: Teori, Masalah dan Kebijakan*. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.
- Mahadiansar, M., Ikhsan, K., Sentanu, I. G. E. P. S., & Aspariyana, A. (2020). Paradigma Pengembangan Model Pembangunan Nasional di Indonesia. *Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi: Media Pengembangan Ilmu dan Praktek Administrasi*, 17(1), 1–15.
- Sadli, M. (2003). *Ekonomi Indonesia dalam Empat Orde*. Jakarta: LP3ES.



- Sugarda, P. P., Gunawan, F. I., & Dini, A. A. (2024). Sovereign Wealth Fund Development in Indonesia: Lessons Learned from Norway and Singapore. *Yustisia Jurnal Hukum*, 13(1), 89–116.
- Sibero, R. L. T. (2024). Pembentukan Sovereign Wealth Fund Melalui Lembaga Manajemen Investasi dalam Rangka Optimalisasi Investasi Asing. *Jurnal Darma Agung*, 32(3), 167–176.
- Sukarsa, G. P. A., & Akhmadi, H. (2024). Investasi Berkelanjutan: Peran INA (Indonesia Investment Authority) dalam Optimalisasi Kerangka ESG (Environment, Social, and Governance). *Jurnal E-Bis (Ekonomi-Bisnis)*, 8(2), 493–506.
- Sukirno, S. (2004). *Makro Ekonomi Teori Pengantar Edisi Ketiga*. Jakarta: PT Raja Persada Grafindo.
- Situmorang, M. (2021). *Reformasi BUMN dan Tantangan Tata Kelola di Indonesia*. Jakarta: Lembaga Penerbit Fakultas Ekonomi UI (LPFE UI).
- Siregar, H. O., & Amalia, N. (2020). Manajemen Risiko dan Efisiensi Investasi pada Perusahaan BUMN di Indonesia. *Monex: Journal of Accounting Research*, 9(1), 97–108.
- Truman, E. M. (2009). A blueprint for sovereign wealth fund best practices. *Revue d'Économie Financière*, 95(1), 9–43.
- Tambunan, T. T. H. (2021). *Pembangunan Ekonomi di Indonesia: Teori dan Aplikasi*. Jakarta: Ghalia Indonesia.