Journal of Business, Management, and Social Studies
Vol. 6, No. 1, February 2026, pp. 42–45
e-ISSN 2798-6209
DOI: https://doi.org/10.53748/jbms.v6i1.175
Available online at www.jbms.site

# From Ownership to Access: Sony, Digital Distribution, and the Future of Platform Governance

**Bathara Indra Bayu Putra**
Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA
Sampoerna University, Jakarta, Indonesia

**Type of Article:** Commentary
**JEL Classification:** L86, L14, D18
**Article Info:** Received 10 Jul 2026; Revised 30 Jul 2026; Accepted 4 Aug 2026
**Article Correspondence:** bputra@asu.edu
**Recommended Citation:** Putra, B. I. B. (2026). From Ownership to Access: Sony, Digital Distribution, and the Future of Platform Governance. Journal of Business, Management, and Social Studies, 6(1), 42–45.

## INTRODUCTION: THE SHIFT FROM PHYSICAL TO DIGITAL MEDIA

Buying a video game means owning a tangible item for many years. It might be stored for years, lent to friends, sold, or kept on a shelf. This move reflects a strategic shift in how platform operators generate, collect, and manage wealth inside their ecosystems rather than just a technological change in delivery, customers had some autonomy with physical media, which was mostly independent of platform operators. Nonetheless, the game industry’s continuous transition to digital distribution is indicative of a more general change in the way value is produced, dispersed, and managed inside platform ecosystems. A platform ecosystem in the game industry is the network of linked hardware, software, developers, publishers, digital services, online communities, and complementary assets that together generate value and promote sustained engagement (Hidayat et al., 2022). This shift, which goes well beyond technology advancement, radically alters the relationship between users and platform providers.

In addition to providing increased convenience, more accessibility, and reduced distribution costs, digital distribution concentrates power in the hands of platform operators. Sony’s growing focus on digital distribution creates significant issues around ecosystem governance, switching costs, and striking a balance between platform efficiency and customer autonomy when viewed through the prism of platform ecosystem strategy.

Journal of Business, Management, and Social Studies
Vol. 6, No. 1, February 2026, pp. 42–45
e-ISSN 2798-6209
DOI: https://doi.org/10.53748/jbms.v6i1.175
Available online at www.jbms.site

**Economic and Operational Drivers for Digital Distribution**

Sony's shift to digital distribution makes strategic sense. Manufacturing, shipping, retail relationships, and inventory management are all necessary for the manufacture of physical games. Many of these expenses are eliminated by digital distribution, giving Sony more control over pricing, marketing, and client interactions. Each transaction made via the PlayStation Store produces useful data and improves Sony's capacity to promote communication between publishers, developers, and users. Developers and publishers find the platform more appealing as more customers embrace digital shopping. Over 70% of PlayStation game sales are now digital, according to Sony's financial reports, demonstrating the industry's quick shift to platform-based distribution. Developers and publishers find the platform more appealing as more consumers use digital shopping. Greater content investment is encouraged by a larger user base, and more people are drawn to a larger content library. The PlayStation ecosystem is strengthened by these indirect network effects, which also support Sony's role as the platform middleman. Over time, participation, rather than the technology itself, becomes more and more important to the ecosystem's worth (Rietveld & Schilling, 2021).

Sony is becoming a platform orchestrator instead of just a hardware producer. The corporation increasingly creates value by organizing interactions between gamers, developers, publishers, subscription services, and digital stores, as opposed to solely creating revenue through console sales.

Digital ecosystems function in a new way (Cutolo & Kenney, 2021). The content that is displayed, the pricing of products, the distribution of promotions, and the circumstances under which customers can access their purchases are all increasingly controlled by platform operators. Customers are becoming more and more reliant on platform governance choices as physical alternatives vanish. Although this kind of centralization can enhance productivity and user experience, it also makes platform operators more accountable for upholding justice and transparency (Hidayat et al., 2022).

Sony benefits from this dynamic because ecosystem loyalty generates recurring engagement and revenue. However, the same mechanisms that strengthen retention may also reduce consumer flexibility. Unlike physical games, digital purchases are often tied directly to platform accounts and cannot be easily transferred, resold, or preserved independently of the platform. Greater ecosystem control does not automatically translate into sustainable competitive advantage (Hidayat et al., 2022). Excessive centralization may create concerns regarding ownership rights, preservation, pricing transparency, and platform dependence (Zhu, 2021). Consumers increasingly question whether they truly own digital products or merely purchase temporary access to them.

These concerns are particularly relevant in the gaming industry, where long-term access to purchased content often depends on the continued operation of platform services. In online environments where consumers acquire virtual goods or access digital products, factors such as unobstructed access, perceived playfulness, and platform engagement heavily drive user behavior and purchasing decisions (Pangaribuan et al., 2021). If consumers perceive digital ecosystems as overly restrictive, trust may erode, potentially weakening the very ecosystem advantages platform operators seek to create. Indeed, empirical research shows that consumer trust, brand preference, and user perception play a vital role in sustaining long-term consumer adoption and purchase intentions within competitive markets (Junarsin et al., 2022). Furthermore, sustaining user adoption requires fostering intrinsic self-efficacy and resilience within the user community to adapt to changing digital landscapes (Kurniawati et al., 2025).

**Journal of Business, Management, and Social Studies**
Vol. 6, No. 1, February 2026, pp. 42–45
e-ISSN 2798-6209
DOI: https://doi.org/10.53748/jbms.v6i1.175
Available online at www.jbms.site

**Governance Strategies and Building Consumer Trust**

Rather than maximizing control, Sony should pursue governance strategies that strengthen trust alongside ecosystem growth (Junarsin et al., 2022). This could include clearer digital ownership policies, improved preservation initiatives, greater transparency regarding content availability, and mechanisms that provide consumers with greater confidence in their long-term purchases. However, good platform governance requires more than just efficient coordination. The interests of platform operators, developers, publishers, and users must all be balanced. Long-term involvement and value generation are more likely to be sustained by platforms that put ecosystem health ahead of immediate control.

## CONCLUSION: THE FUTURE OF PLATFORM GOVERNANCE

The future of gaming will probably be defined by digital ecosystems rather than tangible goods. More than just a shift in sales tactics, Sony's growing focus on digital distribution is indicative of a larger industry movement away from ownership and toward access. Digital ecosystems drastically alter the interaction between users and platform operators through more robust governance mechanisms, greater platform control, and higher switching costs.

Nonetheless, trust is just as important to ecosystem strength as control. Businesses that effectively strike a balance between productivity, creativity, and customer autonomy will be in the greatest position to take the lead in the upcoming gaming period. The question of whether digital distribution will take over is no longer relevant. Whether platform operators can manage more centralized ecosystems without eroding the confidence of the consumers who rely on them is the more crucial question.

## REFERENCES

Cutolo, D., & Kenney, M. (2021). Platform dependence: Globalization, platform governance, and the consequences for complementors. Journal of International Business Studies, 52(8), 1466–1489. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-021-00443-3

Hidayat, D., Sudirman, N. F., Andika, S., Patricia, Putra, O. P. B., Pangaribuan, C. H., & Pasaribu, P. (2022). The Direct and Indirect Influence of e-Commerce Capability on Sustainable Competitive Advantage: The Importance of Agility. International Journal of Current Science Research and Review, 5(7), 2482-2489.

Junarsin, E., Pangaribuan, C. H., Wahyuni, M., Hidayat, D., Putra, O. P. B., Maulida, P., & Soedarmono, W. (2022). Analyzing the relationship between consumer trust, awareness, brand preference, and purchase intention in green marketing. International Journal of Data and Network Science, 6(3), 915–920. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2022.3.003

Kurniawati, R. Z. A., Pangaribuan, C. H., Kembau, A. S., & Nilam, E. B. (2025). Mediating role of self-efficacy: Resiliency and entrepreneurial intention in young generation. Etikonomi, 24(2), 533–546. https://doi.org/10.15408/etk.v24i2.41426

Pangaribuan, C. H., Putra, C., Wahyuni, M., Hidayat, D., & Putra, O. P. B. (2021). The roles of unobstructed play and motivation to compete in influencing in-game virtual goods purchase: A preliminary study. International Journal of Economics and Management Systems, 6, 119–125.

**Journal of Business, Management, and Social Studies**
Vol. 6, No. 1, February 2026, pp. 42–45
e-ISSN 2798-6209
DOI: https://doi.org/10.53748/jbms.v6i1.175
Available online at www.jbms.site

Rietveld, J., & Schilling, M. A. (2021). Platform competition: A systematic and interdisciplinary review of the literature. Journal of Management, 47(6), 1528–1563. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206320969791

Zhu, X. A. (2021). Ownership vs access: Consumers' digital ownership perceptions and preferences. Aslib Journal of Information Management, 73(5), 682–699. https://doi.org/10.1108/AJIM-11-2020-0373